UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,490	07/17/2003	Jacqueline Coral Kent	07-2063-A	2623
	7590 09/11/200 BOEHNEN HULBER	EXAMINER		
300 S. WACKE		WITCZAK, CATHERINE		
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summany		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/621,49	90	KENT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			•	Art Unit				
		CATHERI	NE N. WITCZAK	3767				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	EDATE OF THE R 1.136(a). In no eviction will apply and watute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	3.lune 2008						
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	, -							
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-10 and 12-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-10 and 12-18</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election r	equirement					
		a, or olocaon i	oquii omonii.					
	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Exam		_					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	-						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/2008.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The step of using milk surge data to subsequently effect one or more of a subsequent therapeutic, diagnostic or modified milk expression technique of the mother is not present in the specification as originally filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-10, and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alekseev et al (*Compression stimuli increase the efficacy of breast pump function*. European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology) as modified by Jaquith (US 4,030,356).

Alekseev et al disclose on page 133 a system for detecting a milk surge and using that data for modified milk expression techniques for a mother having a breast pump (1), a breast

Art Unit: 3/6/

shield (2), a collecting container (6), and a unit (volume, see page 133 column 1, lines 8-10) by which milk surge is detected over time.

Alekseev et al disclose the claimed invention except for the measuring means being an electromechanical balance with a bearing surface used to measure the weight of the milk. Jaquith teaches in column 2, lines 40-52 that it is known to use an electromechanical balance as a measuring means and weight (as opposed to volume) as a measuring unit. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Alekssev et al with an electromechanical balance as taught by Jaquith since such a modification would provide the system with a more efficient, accurate and automated way of measuring the weight of milk expressed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/3/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the specification as filed provides basis for the limitation regarding the use of data to effect one or more of a subsequent therapeutic, diagnostic or modified milk expression technique of the mother. Although Applicant has shown specific examples of how the data can be used, these specific examples do not warrant the use of the broad limitation drawn to any therapeutic, diagnostic, of modified milk expression technique.

Applicant further argues the combination of Alekseev et al and Jaquith. Although Alekseev et al may not disclose the measurement of milk being based on weight, but rather on volume, Examiner points out that to one having ordinary skill in the art it would be obvious that a volume measurement could very easily be converted into a unit of weight of milk expressed (and vice versa). To this extent, it would be easier, and more accurate, to weigh the amount of milk expressed using a balance (as taught by Jaquith) than it would be to provide a volume measurement using a simple graduated container as taught by Alekseev et al. - but in the end,

Art Unit: 3767

both methods would provide the user with the same information about the quantity of milk expressed. For that reason it would be obvious to combine the two teachings.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CATHERINE N. WITCZAK whose telephone number is (571)272-7179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,490 Page 5

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Catherine N Witczak/

Examiner, Art Unit 3767

/Kevin C. Sirmons/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767